**Publication Review Form for New Faculty**

**of College of Engineering, National Sun Yat-sen University**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rank of application | Full-time | □ within the  manning quota | □Professor  □Associate Professor  □Assistant Professor | | Name |  | Department/ Institute |  |
| □beyond the  manning quota |
| □ joint employment | |
| □ adjunct position | |
| Title of Representative Work | | |  | | | | | |
| Review Comments (Note: The transcribed comments shall be disclosed to the applicant.): | | | | | | | | |
| (Attach additional A4 pages if necessary.) | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | |
| advantage(s) | | | | shortcoming(s) | | | | |
| □contents rich in insights and innovation  □conclusions of academic value  □conclusions of practical value  □rich in resources and rigorous structure  □good research capability  □excellent research results  □others: | | | | □no originality  □low in academic value  □low in practical value  □weak in research methodologies and theoretical basis  □not conforming with the paper format in respective academic category  □lack of in-depth analysis  □incomplete contents  □contents of no personal originality; composed by rearranging, editing, combining, or reformatting works of others  □contents involving with plagiarism or other academic ethics violation (Note: Please specify with concrete reasons in the comments column above.)  □Others: | | | | |
| Others:  □having potential for winning NSTC  Outstanding Research Award  □having potential for winning NSTC  Ta-You Wu Memorial Award  □having potential for winning other research awards, e.g.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | | | |
| If the applicant is involved with either one of the two shortcomings of “contents of no personal originality…” or “contents involving with plagiarism or other academic ethics violation”, the reviewer shall tick the “disagree to recommend” box below in accordance with Article 21, 22, and 43 stipulated in *Accreditation Regulations Governing Teacher Qualifications at Institutions of Higher Education*. | | | | | | | | |

※Amended and approved at the 419th University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on December 15, 2022.

保存年限：一年

表單編號：PAOA-3-02-0902

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Evaluation Items and Standards of Representative Work | | | | | | | | Research Performance in the Last Five Years  (Applicants applying for joint or adjunct position are exempt from this column.) |
| items | 1. **Research Topic and**  **Purpose:**  importance of research in academia and practical application | | 2. **Research Methodology**  **and Writing:**  -methodolody is accurate, reliable, and innovative;  -citation is appropriate and accurate;  -structure is rigorous, conformed to standard format;  -writing is clear,  detailed, and smooth | | | 3. **Research Capabilities and Results:**  -competence in reasoning, judgement, and equipment operation;  -research results of innovation with major findings;  - potential contribution and referential value in academia or practical application | | 1. Qualitative standards of  research achievement  2. Quantitative standards of  research achievement.  3. Applicant’s research attitude |
| Evaluation | excellent - good - average - poor  □ □ □ □ | | excellent - good - average - poor  □ □ □ □ | | | excellent - good - average - poor  □ □ □ □ | | excellent - good - average - poor  □ □ □ □ |
| Evaluation Items | | | | Result (Please check an appropriate box) | | | | |
| 1. Foresight in Research Field | | | | □ Booming Field  □ Traditional Science & Technology  □ Practical science  □ No originality | | | | |
| 2. Ability in Academic Research  Independence | | | | □ High  □ Good  □ Average  □ Poor | | | | |
| 3. Prospect of Obtaining NSTC  Projects in the Future  (Applicants applying for joint or adjunct position are exempt from this column.) | | | | □ （≧90%）  □ （89-80%）  □ （79-70%）  □ （≦ 69%） | | | | |
| 4. Prospect of Promotion in Five Years Based on Current Performance (applicants for professor, adjunct or joints position are exempt from this column) | | | | □ （≧90%）  □ （89-80%）  □ （79-70%）  □ （≦ 69%） | | | | |
| **Overall Result** | | **□ Agree with the recommendation (For a full-time position, this box can only be checked if the possibilities in Field 3 and Field 4＞80%)**  **□ Disagree with the recommendation**  (Note: Please specify with concrete evidence in the evaluation opinions above for any work involved with plagiarism or other academic ethics violation.) | | | | | | |
| Reviewer’s Signature or Stamp | |  | | | Review Date | | MM/DD/YYYY | |
| Contact Number: (07) 5252000 ext. 4001 or 4003 | | | | |  | | | |
| Notes:  1. Please mark “√” on the above evaluation columns.  　Example: 　 excellent - good - average - poor  ☑ □ □ □    2. The representative publication shall be included in research performance of the last five years. | | | | | | | | |